

ENTROPY AND ERGODIC MEASURES FOR TORAL AUTOMORPHISMS

PENG SUN

China Economics and Management Academy
Central University of Finance and Economics
Beijing 100081, China

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that for every linear toral automorphism, especially the non-hyperbolic ones, the entropies of ergodic measures form a dense set on the interval from zero to the topological entropy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a diffeomorphism on a compact manifold. f has some ergodic invariant measures. By Variational Principle, the supremum of their metric entropies equals the topological entropy of f . But only few results have been obtained about the structure of the set

$$E(f) = \{h_\mu(f) | \mu \text{ is an ergodic invariant measure of } f\}.$$

Katok conjectures $E(f) \supset [0, h(f))$ if f has enough regularity. He proved that this is the case for C^r ($r > 1$) diffeomorphisms on surfaces [1]. Recently we extended Katok's result to certain skew product cases [8].

In this paper we deal with linear toral automorphisms, especially the non-hyperbolic ones. Precisely, let f_A be a linear toral automorphism induced by $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We have

Theorem 1.1. *For any linear toral automorphism f_A , $E(f_A)$ is dense in $[0, h(f_A)]$.*

In fact, we believe that $E(f) \supset [0, h(f))$. However, we are not able to carry through it at the moment. The critical obstacle is the discontinuity of entropy map on invariant measures.

To prove the theorem, we only need to show the following proposition. When f_A is reducible, it can be decomposed into a direct product of irreducible automorphisms.

Proposition 1.2. *Let f_A be an irreducible linear automorphism of the torus. For every $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, h(f)]$ and $\beta_1 < \beta_2$, there is a compact invariant set M_{β_1, β_2} such that $\beta_1 \leq h(f_A|_{M_{\beta_1, \beta_2}}) \leq \beta_2$.*

As f_A is C^∞ , the map $\mu \rightarrow h_\mu(f_A)$ is upper-semicontinuous on the set of all f_A -invariant measures [7]. So every such M_{β_1, β_2} supports a measure of maximal entropy. We have that $E(f_A) \supset \{h(f_A|_{M_{\beta_1, \beta_2}})\}$ and hence $E(f_A)$ is dense in $[0, h(f_A)]$.

As we know, when A is a hyperbolic matrix, i.e. none of the eigenvalues lies on the unit circle, f_A is Anosov (uniformly hyperbolic) and $E(f_A) = [0, h(f_A)]$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37B40, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. entropy, ergodic measure, toral automorphism.

The result of this paper makes sense when f_A is non-hyperbolic. Irreducible non-hyperbolic toral automorphisms have drawn some interests and similar problems have been considered. The basic geometric structure and dynamical properties of these maps has been discussed in [3]. In [4] and [5] some properties that holds for all ergodic measures are shown using harmonic analysis and algebraic methods. For the entropy of ergodic measures, the case that A is a companion matrix of a Salem number has been discussed, where the stable foliation is one-dimensional and there is no repeated complex eigenvalues. Another notable result from [5] is that non-hyperbolic automorphisms do not have Markov partitions. So the way of constructing ergodic measures from symbolic spaces, as for hyperbolic automorphisms, does not work for non-hyperbolic ones. In this paper, we use a geometrical argument due to Mañé that works mainly with unstable leaves to achieve our result.

2. BASIC FACTS

Let $X = \mathbb{T}^l$. We fix $f = f_A : X \rightarrow X$ and assume that A is irreducible. Denote $[y] = y + \mathbb{Z}^l$, the image under the covering map $\mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^l$. Let $\chi_1 > \chi_2 > \dots > \chi_u > 1$ be the absolute values of the eigenvalues of A larger than 1, each with multiplicity ζ_i . Denote $\zeta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u} \zeta_i$ and $\zeta_{u+1} = l - \zeta$. Let W_i be the i -th unstable foliation corresponding to χ_i and W be the whole unstable foliation.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $Q_\varepsilon \in GL(l, \mathbb{R})$ such that $A_\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon^{-1} A Q_\varepsilon$ is diagonal,

or every Jordan block has the form like
$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi & \varepsilon & & \\ & \chi & \varepsilon & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \chi & \varepsilon \\ & & & & \chi \end{pmatrix},$$
 where χ denotes a

real eigenvalue, or a 2×2 matrix corresponding to a complex eigenvalue, in which case the ε 's denote matrices whose norms are less than ε .

Let $R = \mathbb{R}^\zeta \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^l$. Define

$$\Phi_x = \Phi_{x, \varepsilon} : R \rightarrow W(x), \Phi(y) = [x + Q_\varepsilon \cdot y].$$

Φ_x is a diffeomorphism.

For $r_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, u$, let $\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_u)$, and

$$D(\bar{r}) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u, 0) \in R \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\zeta_i}, \|x_i\| \leq r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, u\}$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $\varepsilon < \chi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, u$, then*

$$A_\varepsilon(D(r_1, \dots, r_u)) \supset D((\chi_1 - \varepsilon)r_1, \dots, (\chi_u - \varepsilon)r_u),$$

$$A_\varepsilon(D(r_1, \dots, r_u)) \subset D((\chi_1 + \varepsilon)r_1, \dots, (\chi_u + \varepsilon)r_u).$$

Corollary 2.2. *If $\varepsilon < \chi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, u$, then for every k ,*

$$f^k(\Phi_x(D(r_1, \dots, r_u))) \supset \Phi_{f^k(x)}(D((\chi_1 - \varepsilon)^k r_1, \dots, (\chi_u - \varepsilon)^k r_u)),$$

$$f^k(\Phi_x(D(r_1, \dots, r_u))) \subset \Phi_{f^k(x)}(D((\chi_1 + \varepsilon)^k r_1, \dots, (\chi_u + \varepsilon)^k r_u)).$$

Let $U = U_{\bar{r}, \varepsilon} = \pi_\zeta(Q_\varepsilon(D(\bar{r}))) \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\zeta$, where $\pi_\zeta : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\zeta$ is the projection to the first ζ coordinates. Consider

$$K(\bar{r}) = K(\bar{r}, \varepsilon) = \{[y] \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^l, \pi_\zeta(y) \in U\}.$$

Unless ε is specified and has to be taken into consideration, it is usually omitted for convenience. $K(\bar{r})$ is compact. For every x , $\Phi_x^{-1}(K(\bar{r}) \cap W(x))$ is the union

of infinitely many copies of $D(\bar{r})$ centered at points in a lattice $\Gamma_x = \Gamma_{x,\varepsilon} \subset R$, obtained by translation. We call these copies “cells”. $R \cong \mathbb{R}^\zeta$ is tiled by copies of the fundamental domains centered at points in Γ_x . We call these fundamental domains “blocks”. Every block contains exactly one cell. Let $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon) = \text{diam}(R/\Gamma_x)$ and $C_1 = C_1(\varepsilon) = \text{vol}(R/\Gamma_x)$ be the diameter and volume of a block. They are independent of x .

Lemma 2.3. *Let $C_2 = \text{vol}(D(1))$ and $C_0 = C_0(\varepsilon) = C_2 \cdot C_1^{-1}$. If $r_j > \alpha, j = 1, \dots, u$, then for every x , the number of cells in $\Phi_x^{-1}(K(\bar{r}) \cap W(x))$ covered by $D(\bar{r})$ is at least*

$$g_-(\bar{r}) = C_0 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^u (r_j - \alpha)^{\zeta_j}.$$

The number of J -cells that intersect it is at most

$$g_+(\bar{r}) = C_0 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^u (r_j + \alpha)^{\zeta_j} + 1.$$

Proof. As α is the diameter of a block, then if a block intersects $D(r_1 - \alpha, \dots, r_u - \alpha)$, then it is fully covered by $D(\bar{r})$. The number of blocks intersecting $D(\bar{r})$ is at least the volume of itself divided by the volume of a block. Since every block contains one cell, the number of J -cells covered by $D(\bar{r})$ is at least the number of blocks covered by it, which is at least $g_-(\bar{r})$.

Proof of the other statement is analogous. $\square$

3. CONSTRUCTIONS ALONG UNSTABLE FOLIATION

We define

$$V_k^m(\bar{r}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} f^{-ik}(K(\bar{r})), V_k(\bar{r}) = V_k^\infty(\bar{r}), U_k(\bar{r}) = U_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon) = \bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f^{ik}(K(\bar{r}, \varepsilon)).$$

For $x \in K(\bar{r})$, Let $K(\bar{r}, x)$ be the connected component of $K(\bar{r}) \cap W(x)$ containing x . $K(\bar{r}, x) = \Phi_{z_x}(D(\bar{r}))$ for some $z_x \in W(x)$. Let

$$V_k^m(\bar{r}, x) = V_k^m(\bar{r}) \cap K(\bar{r}, x), V_k(\bar{r}, x) = V_k(\bar{r}) \cap K(\bar{r}, x),$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let k and $\bar{r}$ be such that $r_j(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k > \alpha, j = 1, \dots, u$. Let*

$$g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) = C_0 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^u (r_j(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k - \alpha)^{\zeta_j}.$$

$$g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) = C_0 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^u (r_j(\chi_j + \varepsilon)^k + \alpha)^{\zeta_j} + 1.$$

Then for every $x \in K(\bar{r})$, $V_k(\bar{r}, x)$ is a Cantor set and

$$\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) \leq h(f^k, V_k(\bar{r}, x)) \leq \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon).$$

Proof. The idea of the following argument is due to Mañé [6]. Since $K(\bar{r}, x) = \Phi_{z_x}(D(\bar{r})) \subset W(x)$, by Corollary 2.2,

$$f^k(\Phi_{z_x}(D(r_1, \dots, r_u))) \supset \Phi_{f^k(z_x)}(D((\chi_1 - \varepsilon)^k r_1, \dots, (\chi_u - \varepsilon)^k r_u)).$$

By Lemma 2.3, $f^k(K(\bar{r}, x))$ covers at least $g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$ full blocks. So $f^k(K(\bar{r}, x)) \cap K(\bar{r})$ consists of at least $g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$ cells. By induction,

$$K(\bar{r}, x) \cap f^{-k}(K(\bar{r})) \cap \dots \cap f^{-mk}(K(\bar{r})) = V_k^m(\bar{r}, x)$$

consists of at least $(g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon))^m$ connected components, each of which is a copy of $f^{-mk}(K(\bar{r}, x))$. So finally we know that $V_k(\bar{r}, x)$ is a Cantor set. For every m and δ sufficiently small, every connected component of $V_k^m(\bar{r}, x)$ intersects $V_k(\bar{r}, x)$. From each of such an intersection we can take a point such that these points form an (m, δ) -separated set, whose cardinality is at least $(g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon))^m$. We have

$$h(f^k, V_k(\bar{r}, x)) \geq \log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon).$$

Proof of the other side is analogous. $\square$

Take $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that $g_-(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_0) > 1$. Then

Corollary 3.2. *Let k and $\bar{r}$ be such that $r_j(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k \geq \alpha_0, j = 1, \dots, u$. Then*

- (1) $h(f^k, V_k(\bar{r})) \geq \log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$.
- (2) $U_k(\bar{r})$ is non-empty and f -invariant.
- (3) $h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r})) \leq h(f^k, V_k(\bar{r}))$.

Proposition 3.3. *If for every j ,*

$$r_j > \frac{\alpha_0}{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k} \cdot \frac{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k + 1}{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k - 1}$$

then $h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r})) \geq \log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. Under the assumption, we can find r'_j such that

$$\frac{\alpha_0}{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k} < r'_j < \frac{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k - 1}{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k + 1} \cdot r_j$$

Let $\bar{r}' = (r'_1, r'_2, \dots, r'_u)$ and $\bar{\delta} = \bar{r} - \bar{r}'$. By Corollary 3.2, $U_k(\bar{r}')$ is nonempty. Take $x_0 \in U_k(\bar{r}') \subset U_k(\bar{r})$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f^{mk}(x_0) \in K(\bar{r}')$. We note that $\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta})) \subset K(\bar{r}, x)$.

For every $m \geq 0$, we have

$$f^{-mk}(\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta}))) \subset \Phi_{f^{-mk}(x_0)}(D(\bar{\delta})) \subset K(\bar{r}, f^{-mk}(x_0)) \subset K(\bar{r}).$$

So $\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta})) \subset f^{mk}(K(\bar{r}))$, and hence

$$\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta})) \cap V_k(\bar{r}) \subset U_k(\bar{r})$$

From the bounds of r'_j we have $(r_j - r'_j)(\chi_{j_0} - \varepsilon)^k > r_j + r'_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, u$, which implies

$$f^k(\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta}))) \supset \Phi_{f^k(x_0)}(D(\bar{\delta})) \supset K(\bar{r}, f^k(x_0)).$$

So finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r})) &\geq h(f^k, \Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta})) \cap V_k(\bar{r})) \\ &= h(f^k, (\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta})) \cap K(\bar{r}, x)) \cap f^{-k}(V_k(\bar{r}))) \\ &= h(f^k, f^k(\Phi_{x_0}(D(\bar{\delta}))) \cap V_k(\bar{r})) \\ &\geq h(f^k, K(\bar{r}, f^k(x_0)) \cap V_k(\bar{r})) \\ &= h(f^k, V_k(\bar{r}, f^k(x_0))) \\ &\geq \log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.4. $h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r})) \leq \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. As $U_k(\bar{r})$ is compact and f^k -invariant, it is enough to show that for every ergodic measure μ of f^k supported on $U_k(\bar{r})$, $h_\mu(f^k) \leq \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$.

Define a measurable partition ξ such that $\xi(x) = K(\bar{r}, x)$. Then ξ is subordinate to the foliation W^u with respect to μ . Moreover, ξ is increasing and $f^{-mk}\xi$ generates as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let μ_x be the conditional measure associated with ξ . By Proposition 3.1 for every $x \in U_k(\bar{r})$ (hence for μ -a.e. x), $\xi(x)$ is covered (mod 0) by at most $g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$ non-null elements of $f^{-k}\xi$, denoted by $\eta_j(x), j = 1, 2, \dots, q(x)$. We have

$$\int_{\xi(x)} -\log \mu_x(f^{-k}\xi)(x) d\mu_x = \sum_{j=1}^{q(x)} -\mu_x(\eta_j(x)) \log \mu_x(\eta_j(x)) \leq \log q(x) \leq \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon).$$

Apply the result of Ledrappier and Young [2]:

$$h_\mu(f^k) = H(f^{-k}\xi|\xi) = \int -\log \mu_x(f^{-k}\xi)(x) d\mu \leq \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon).$$

□

4. DENSITY OF THE ESTIMATES

We make the following assumptions:

- (1) k is large enough such that $(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k > 2$ for every j .
- (2) $r_j(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k \geq 3\alpha_0$ for every j .
- (3) $\pi_\zeta(Q_\varepsilon(D(\bar{r}))) \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\zeta$.

We take $\tau > 0$ such that $\pi_\zeta(Q_\varepsilon(D(\tau, \tau, \dots, \tau))) \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\zeta$. For k large enough, let

$$\Omega_k = \Omega_{k,\varepsilon} = \{\bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}^u \mid \frac{3\alpha_0}{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k} \leq r_j \leq \tau\}.$$

Then every $\bar{r} \in \Omega_k$ satisfies the assumptions.

Corollary 4.1. *Under the assumptions, we have*

$$\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) \leq h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon)) \leq \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)$$

Lemma 4.2. *For every $\gamma > 0$, there is $\varepsilon_\gamma > 0$ and k_γ such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_\gamma$ and $k \geq k_\gamma$, then for every $\bar{r} \in \Omega_k$, $\log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) - \log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) < \gamma k$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\psi_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon) = \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) - \log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^u \log \frac{(r_j(\chi_j + \varepsilon)^k + \alpha)^{\zeta_j}}{(r_j(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k - \alpha)^{\zeta_j}}$$

is a continuous function of $\bar{r} \in \Omega_k$ and is decreasing for each r_j . So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \psi_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{k} \psi_k\left(\frac{3\alpha_0}{(x_1 - \varepsilon)^k}, \dots, \frac{3\alpha_0}{(x_u - \varepsilon)^k}\right) := \psi_k(\varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (\log(C_0 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^u (\frac{3\alpha_0(\chi_j + \varepsilon)^k}{(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k} + \alpha)^{\zeta_j} + 1) - \log C_0 - \sum_{j=1}^u \log(3\alpha_0 - \alpha)^{\zeta_j}) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^u \zeta_j \log \frac{\chi_j + \varepsilon}{\chi_j - \varepsilon} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

□

Proposition 1.2, hence our main theorem, is a trivial corollary of the following one, by taking

$$M = \bigcup_{j=1}^k f^j(U_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon))$$

for $\beta_1, \beta_2, k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon$ as in the proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *For every $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, h(f)]$, $\beta_1 < \beta_2$, there is ε_0 and k_0 such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $k \geq k_0$, there is $\bar{r} \in \Omega_{k, \varepsilon}$ such that $h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon)) \in [\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon), \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)] \subset [k\beta_1, k\beta_2]$.*

Proof. $g_-(k, \bar{r})$ is continuous in $\bar{r}$ and increasing for each r_j . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \min\{\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) | \bar{r} \in \Omega_{k, \varepsilon}\} &= \frac{1}{k} \log g_-(k, (\frac{3\alpha_0}{(x_1 - \varepsilon)^k}, \dots, \frac{3\alpha_0}{(x_u - \varepsilon)^k}), \varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (\log C_0(\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^u \log(3\alpha_0 - \alpha)^{\zeta_j}) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{1}{k} \max\{\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) | \bar{r} \in \Omega_{k, \varepsilon}\} &= \frac{1}{k} \log g_-(k, (\tau, \dots, \tau), \varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (\log C_0(\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^u \log(\tau(\chi_j - \varepsilon)^k - \alpha)^{\zeta_j}) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^u \zeta_j \log(\chi_j - \varepsilon) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \\ &\rightarrow h(f) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 4.2, there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and k_0 such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $k \geq k_0$, $\psi_k(\varepsilon) < k(\beta_2 - \beta_1)$ and $\frac{1}{k} \log g_-(k, \Omega_{k, \varepsilon}, \varepsilon) \supset [\beta_1, \beta_2]$. Hence there is $\bar{r} \in \Omega_{k, \varepsilon}$ such that $\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon) = k\beta_1$. By Corollary 4.1, $h(f^k, U_k(\bar{r}, \varepsilon)) \in [\log g_-(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon), \log g_+(k, \bar{r}, \varepsilon)] \subset [k\beta_1, k\beta_2]$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Anatole Katok for numerous discussions and explanations. We also thank Douglas Lind and Klaus Schmidt for their knowledge on the topic.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Katok, *Nonuniform hyperbolicity and structure of smooth dynamical systems*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, **2** (Warsaw, 1983), 1245–1253, PWN, Warsaw, 1984.
- [2] F. Ledrappier and L.-S. Young, *The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms*, Ann. Math. **122** (1985), 509–574.
- [3] D. Lind, *Dynamical properties of quasihyperbolic toral automorphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems **2** (1982), 49–68.
- [4] E. Lindenstrauss and K. Schmidt, *Invariant sets and measures of nonexpansive group automorphisms*, Israel J. Math. **144** (2004), 29–60.
- [5] E. Lindenstrauss and K. Schmidt, *Symbolic representations of nonexpansive group automorphisms*, Israel J. Math. **149** (2005), 227–266.
- [6] R. Mañé, *Contributions to the stability conjecture*, Topology, **17** (1978), 383–396.
- [7] S. Newhouse, *Continuity properties of entropy*, Ann. of Math. **129** (1989), 215–235.

- [8] P. Sun, *Measures of intermediate entropies for skew product diffeomorphisms*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst - A **27** (2010), 1219–1231.

E-mail address: pengsunmath@gmail.com